Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/841,593	KORO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	David L. Sorkin	1723	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(1) David L. Sorkin.	(3)		
(2) Robert T. Pous.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 13 April 2004	Time: <u>11 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: not discussed			
Claims discussed: 1 and 11			
Prior art documents discussed: art of record (Regalia US 2001/0050880)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate content is not necessary. 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the su of the interview, since the i	ubstance
Dail Loken			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropria	ite)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Pous authorized an examiner's amendment changing "by or beyond" to - - to or beyond - - in claims 1 and 11 to make the claims more consistent with applicant's 1/2/2003 remarks and to more clearly distinguish the claims over Regalia (US 2001/0050880).